| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 5 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 6 | NORTHERIV DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 7 | KAREN MOE HUMPHRIES, No. C 04-3808 SI |
| 8 | Plaintiff, ORDER TO MEET AND CONFER RE: |
| 9 | v. DISCOVERY DISPUTE |
| 10 | REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Defendants. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | By letter-brief filed September 1, 2005, plaintiff seeks to compel further answers at deposition and |
| 15 | documents from witness Teresa Kuehn. Ms. Kuehn's original deposition session occurred on August 25, |
| 16 | 2005. Defendants oppose the request. |
| 17 | It is clear both from the timing of the letter briefs and from their text that the parties have not met to try |
| 18 | to resolve the current dispute. Such an effort is required before the Court will involve itself in resolution of any |
| 19 | discovery dispute, particularly one which, like the current one, could obviously be narrowed by good faith |
| 20 | discussion and implementation of appropriate protective orders. |
| 21 | The parties are ORDERED to meet and confer, in person , to discuss the dispute and to make a |
| 22 | genuine effort to resolve it. Should they be unable to do so, they are ORDERED to submit a joint report |
| 23 | summarizing their conference , outlining their agreements and identifying their remaining differences. Only |
| 24 | at that point will the Court address any remaining disagreements. |
| 25 | |
| 26 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 27 | Dated: September 20, 2005 |
| 28 | United States District Judge |